UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

TOM ARBINO,

Plaintiff

v. C-1-11-499

HAMILTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, et al.,

Defendants

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (doc. no. 4) to which neither party has objected.

Upon a *de novo* review of the record, the Court finds that the Judge has accurately set forth the applicable law and has properly applied it to the particular facts of this case. Accordingly, in the absence of any objection by plaintiff, this Court accepts the Report as uncontroverted.

2

The Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate

Judge (doc. no. 4) is hereby ADOPTED AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY

REFERENCE. Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that for the foregoing reasons an appeal of any Order adopting this Report and Recommendation would not be taken in good faith and therefore DENIES plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff remains free to apply to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Court of Appeals. *See Callihan v. Schneider*, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th Cir. 1999), overruling in part *Floyd v.*

This case is DISMISSED AND TERMINATED on the docket of this Court.

United States Postal Serv., 105 F.3d 274, 277 (6th Cir. 1997).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Herman J. Weber

Herman J. Weber, Senior Judge
United States District Court